











Official and shadow reports: Monitoring progress of the implementation

Side event of non-governmental organizations at **MOP6 of the Aarhus Convention – Budva**

Tuesday 12 September / 19:30 – 21:00

Hotel Montenegro (Zeta Hall)









~

N

0

M

0

~

0

embe

ept

S

N

~

sday

Tue

Agenda:

Panel 1: Reporting mechanism and its constraints

What can and what cannot be found in implementation reports? / Is there a space for improvement of the reporting mechanism? / Why the NGOs prepare alternative reports?

National implementation report and Shadow report: Example of the best practice and cooperation of the state and the civil society in Austria Martin Skalsky, Arnika Lukas Pokorny, AC Bureau

Summer Kern, Okoburo (AT)

Discussion

Panel 2: Shadow implementation reports	
Hungary: NGO report and opinion	Csaba Kiss, EMLA
Belarus: Environmental democracy – A myth or reality?	Marina Dubina, Ecohome
Kazakhstan: Justice out of sight	Svetlana Mogiluk, ECOM
Bosnia and Herzegovina: Progress unreported	Tomas Jungwirth, independent lawyer
Croatia: Civil society organizations' report	Zeljka Leljak Gracin, Green Action
Czech Republic: Public participation undesirable	Martin Skalsky, Arnika
Discussion	

National implementation reports 2017

- 9 countries failed to submit their NIR
- 7 other NIRs delivered not in time
- Some NIRs contain gaps and are of generally poor quality
- NIRs selective in what is reported on
 - emphasis on the legislation, silence about obstacles
 - lack of information on issues the citizens face in real life
- Some parties failed to consult the public
- Some parties high quality reports, best practices

Shadow reports

Increasing number of the shadow reports:

- *3 (2011)* - *5 (2014)* - *7 (2017)*

- Practical experience from excercisign the rights provided by the Convention – cases, obstacles
- Describe legislative changes weakening environmental democracy in some countries